

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/812,112	03/15/2001	Josef Mayer	HOE-609	3297
20028 7	7590 08/23/2004		EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICE OF BARRY R LIPSITZ			KIM, PAUL D	
755 MAIN STREET MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11011102, 01 00100			3729	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) MAYER ET AL.2 09/812.112 Advisory Action **Art Unit** Examiner 3729 Paul D Kim -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ✓ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ✓ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 9,11 and 21. Claim(s) rejected: 5-8,10,12-20,58,61 and 62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2, 3, and 59. 8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: PETER VO **SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700** 



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior art of record, both Harris and Guillot, fails to disclose the claimed invention such as providing a housing having an open rear end and capping the open rear end with a cap. Applicant argues that the housing of the Harries has both open ends and the metal cap (26) is mounted as a last step and the carrier is inserted into one open end and the moulding compound is introduced the other end of the housing. Examiner traverses the arguments. According to Fig. 2, the cap (14) is preinstalled with the carrier with the circuit board and then the carrier with the circuit board is inserted into the open end of the housing. The other end of the housing is closed by the metal cap (26) prior to insert the carrier with the circuit board. And then, the moulding compound is introduced into the housing until the cap is fitted or closed the end. It means that the carrier and the moulding compound are introduced at the same end of the housing. Applicant also argues that Guillot fails to disclose the claimed invention such as capping the open rear end of the housing with a cap. Examiner also respectively traverses the arguments. Since there is no structural definition for the cap, Guillot teaches a housing with an open rear end and capping the open rear end with a cap such as printed circuit board to complete the manufacturing process for forming a module.